

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

CHAIRMAN:
Judge Wm. Terrell Hodges
United States District Court
Middle District of Florida

MEMBERS:
Judge D. Lowell Jensen
United States District Court
Northern District of California

Judge J. Frederick Motz
United States District Court
District of Maryland

Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr.
United States District Court
Northern District of Indiana

Judge Kathryn H. Vratil
United States District Court
District of Kansas

Judge David R. Hansen
United States Court of Appeals
Eighth Circuit

Judge Anthony J. Scirica
United States Court of Appeals
Third Circuit

Robert A. Cahn
Executive Attorney

DIRECT REPLY TO:

Jeffery N. Luthi
Clerk of the Panel
One Columbus Circle, NE
Thurgood Marshall Federal
Judiciary Building
Room G-255, North Lobby
Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800
Fax: [202] 502-2888

<http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov>

February 22, 2007

TO INVOLVED JUDGES

Re: MDL-1839—In re Pro Tem Partners, Inc., and Semico Research Corp. Contract Litigation

Semico Research Corp. v. Jan-Charles Fine, et al., D. Arizona, C.A. No. 2:06-2475
(Judge David G. Campbell)

Pro Tem Partners, Inc. v. Semico Research Corp., D. Massachusetts, C.A. No. 1:05-11822
(Judge Reginald C. Lindsay)

Dear Judges:

Presently before the Panel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 is a motion to transfer which includes at least one action before you in the above-described docket. The parties will have an opportunity to fully brief the question of transfer and the matter will be considered at a bimonthly Panel hearing session. In the meantime, your jurisdiction continues until any transfer ruling by the Panel becomes effective.

If you have a motion pending – such as a motion to remand to state court (if the action was removed to your court) – you are free to rule on the motion, of course, or wait until the Panel has decided the transfer issue. The latter course may be especially appropriate if the motion raises questions likely to arise in other actions in the transferee court and, in the interest of uniformity, might best be decided there if the Panel orders centralization.

Please feel free to contact our staff in Washington with any questions.

Kindest regards,



Wm. Terrell Hodges
Chairman